

# YOUNG AND INNOVATION DEPARTMENT

## Y2I Contest

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

ecabit  y2i youth to innovation contest

**2008**

## EVALUATION FILE

<b>Applicant:</b>	
<b>Project name:</b>	
<b>Country:</b>	

### Evaluation of conformity grid

No	Evaluation Criteria	Indicator	Yes	No
1	Eligibility of applicant(s) Applicant(s) will attach the CV(s)	Age 18 – 30 years		
2	Eligibility of countries	Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bulgaria, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyz Republic, Romania, Turkey, Ukraine, Uzbekistan		
3	Eligibility of applications			
	Language	English		
	Application form	Annex 1		
	The application is addressed to one of the 3 priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Business Innovation</li> <li>• Technology Innovation</li> <li>• Commercialisation of Technology</li> </ul>		
	The submitted application	Single application		
4	Application received in time	Deadline is <b>15 July 2008 18:00 (GMT +2)</b>		
	<b>Application is</b>	<b>Accepted<sup>1</sup></b>		
		<b>Rejected<sup>2</sup></b>		

**Name of evaluator and Signature**

**Date:**

<sup>1</sup> Application accepted = all answers are “Yes”

<sup>2</sup> Application rejected = minimum 1 answer is “No”

## Evaluation grid for applications

No	Evaluation Criteria	Indicator	Points	Application form
1	Aim of the application	How clearly defined is the strategically objective? ..... (max. 5)		2.1.
		How the application is address to the infoDev ICT priority? ..... (max. 5)		
2	Target group, target area	How clearly defined is the target group(s)? ..... (max. 5)		2.2.
3	Relevance of application	How relevant to the needs and constraints of the target group is the proposal? ..... (max. 5)		2.3
		How relevant is the proposal to the objectives of Y2I? ..... (max. 5)		2.3
4	History of application	Does the applicant have sufficient theoretical skills and practical experience in innovation? .... (max. 5)		CV
		How clear and relevant is described the history of application? ..... (max. 5)		2.4
5	Actual stage of implementation	How mature is the innovation? New idea .....(1) Existing business plan .....(3) Business started already .....(6) Innovation prepared for implement ....(10) Innovation implemented .....(15) Turnover generated by innovation .....(25)		2.5
		Patented idea – patent owned by applicant (5p)		2.5
6	Market description	How clear is described the application market? Internal innovation ..... (1p) Local market ..... (2p) Regional market ..... (3p) National market ..... (4p) Global market ..... (5p)		2.6
7	Business model	How clear is described the business model? ..... (max. 5)		2.7
		How innovative is the business model? ..... (max. 5)		2.7
8	Financial plan	How can the financial plan sustain the application? ..... (max. 5)		3
9	Income and expenditure	How can the application generate profit from the innovation? ..... (max. 5)		3
10	General evaluation	How is the general level of application? Low .....(1-3p) Average .....(4-6p) High .....(7-10)		All
<b>TOTAL</b>				

**Note: Max points are 100.**

**Name of evaluator and Signature**

**Date:**

# EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

## **Evaluation and selection procedure**

The applications will be evaluated in 3 steps, by an evaluation team formed by experts from Romania (project co-ordination), Armenia, Bulgaria and Ukraine.

### ***First step: Evaluation of conformity***

The application must fulfil the entire set or regulation stated in the present guide. The missing data or documents will make the application not eligible.

The evaluators will check the **Evaluation of conformity grid** – we will select only applications that have zero negative answers.

### ***Second step: National evaluation***

All applications will be evaluated by a national evaluator. The evaluators will fill a declaration of "no interest" regarding the applicants and applications.

The evaluator will use the **Evaluation grid for applications**, and will score each line of the grid.

The total score will be obtained by adding the line's scores.

The applications will be ranked based on the total number of points.

The applications from 1 to 3 will pass on the second step of evaluation.

### ***Third step: Regional evaluation***

The applications selected in previous stage (3 per country) will be evaluated by experts from the other participant countries. Each evaluation will be considered if the balance among 2 evaluators is less as 10%. The extreme scores (balance over 10%) will not be considered – the experts will reconcile the evaluations.

The final top will be announced. The jury will select 3 proposals for the final award, and 2 reserves.

The selected applicants will be asked to send the supporting documents (evidences) that confirm their declarations used to prepare the application.

The final decision will be made under the reserve of receiving the supporting documents.